

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/719,011 11/24/2003 Thomas L. Larry 2239-16 9167 7590 06/28/2007 23117 **EXAMINER** NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC WIMER, MICHAEL C 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2821

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE
06/28/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		Office Action Occurrence	10/719,011	LARRY ET AL.	
		Unice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			Michael C. Wimer	2821	
Pe	riod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
St	atus			•	
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 March 2007</u> .				
		This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
	· _	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.			
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
		Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	·	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
		Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
		Claim(s) israte objected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
ordanity) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Att 1) 2) 3)	Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2821

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gothard et al. (6600456).

Regarding Claims 1-23, Gothard et al. show in Fig. 7, for example, an RF antenna array 700 and method therefor, comprising a plurality of antenna elements 701-706 spatially distributed over an array aperture within the confines of the ground plane 710, at least some of the plurality of antenna elements each including at least one active component 711-715 and at least reactively-controlled parasitic component 701-706 plus the circuits 801a-c,810a,805a, etc, as specifically shown in Figures 8A-8C collocated with the active component and at least one controllably variable reactance load 801c, for example in Fig. 8C comprising a varactor connected to at least one parasitic component 701 and an array controller 140, shown in Fig. 7, and connected to at least the variable reactance loads to control a characteristic of the array. The array can be selected in sub-arrays for providing directionality of the beam pattern by varying the phases in the parasitic elements using active components. A skilled artisan would have found it obvious that the switched PIN diode, varactors and

Art Unit: 2821

inductances and capacitances used here with the parasites 701, etc., define the reactively-controlled parasitic component as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan that the variable reactances define the loads claimed here. Similarly, a skilled artisan would have found it obvious to provide the radiating aperture the size of the lowest resonant frequency of operation (one half wavelength).

Further regarding Claim 8, the observed metric and controlled components use a feedback control system as specifically pointed out in column 13, fourth paragraph.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically. The added language to the half wavelength at the lowest frequency is a well known relationship in order for the low frequencies to be useful in the antenna array. A skilled artisan would have found it obvious to employ such a dimension. Thus, the claims are not seen to patentably define over the prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2821

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

> Michael C. Wimer **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2821

MCW 6/19/2007